

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOI/PA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET
FOI/PA# 1350694-0

Total Deleted Page(s) = 40

Page 4 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 5 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 7 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGE 151;
Page 8 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 153-155;
Page 9 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 153-155;
Page 10 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 153-155;
Page 11 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGE 161;
Page 12 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 163-166;
Page 13 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 163-166;
Page 14 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 163-166;
Page 15 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 163-166;
Page 16 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 168-169;
Page 17 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 168-169;
Page 18 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGE 157;
Page 19 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 159-160;
Page 20 ~ Duplicate - 62-HQ-116604, SECTION 1, PAGES 159-160;
Page 21 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 22 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 23 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 24 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 25 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 26 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 27 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 28 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 29 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 30 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 31 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 32 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 33 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 34 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 35 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 36 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 37 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 38 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 39 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 40 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 41 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 42 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 43 ~ Referral/Direct;
Page 44 ~ Referral/Direct;

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

X Deleted Page(s) X

X No Duplication Fee X

X For this Page X

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

William S. Jordan,

Plaintiff,

Civil No. C 75-166

vs.

Delbert Latta, et al.,

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendants.

YOUNG, J:

This cause came to be heard upon motion of the defendants for a protective order and to stay discovery. The plaintiff opposes the order, contending that it is unnecessary in the light of plaintiff's counsel's voluntary agreement not to undertake discovery until the defendants have completed filing proposed motions and answers, and his having postponed the taking of depositions previously noticed.

This Court finds that it is unnecessary to grant the requested order. Plaintiff's counsel has given his professional statement that he will not conduct discovery until after the proposed motions are filed. The Court feels that assurance is sufficient and will therefore deny the motion.

Therefore, for the reasons stated herein and for good cause appearing, it is

ORDERED that the motion of the defendants for a protective order and to stay discovery should be, and hereby is, denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

Toledo, Ohio.

In conformity with Rule 77 (d) F.R.C.P.
please take notice that the following order of judgment
was entered in this court on Sept. 19, 1975
Mark Schlachet, Clerk

62-116604-8